

The List Goes On And On...

by C. Laloyaux

DISCLAIMER:

CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVATE:

This file and any information enclosed within the file, contains restricted and/ or privileged information and is intended only for authorized screening and/ or confidential presentation at the said author's discretion. If you are not the intended observer of this file, you must not disseminate, modify, copy/ plagiarize or take action in reliance upon it, unless permitted by the said author of this file. None of the materials provided on this file may be used, reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without written permission from the said author. The file and its contents are solely the opinion of the said author, and in no way is the file or its contents to be reflected as the opinion of the 'biological father from Saskatoon' (Adam). Usage from this file or its contents for litigation or other nefarious purposes is strictly prohibited.

The list goes on and on with what this persecuted father has had to endure since he found out through DNA testing that he was indeed the biological father to his son. As with other Family Law cases, this whole mess could have easily been avoided if the bio-mom was honest and truthful with her information regarding the identity of the father on the birth certificate. But, instead her knowingly false information resulted in a nightmarish list of maltreatment perpetrated on the bio-dad (Adam). The extensive list includes lies, intimidation, willful and malicious intent, abandonment of law, slander, attempted Constitutional violations of our [“Fundamental Freedoms”](#), falsifying documents, defamation of character, libel, cruel and unusual punishment, misrepresentation of facts, conflicts of interest, distortions of truth, ethics and conduct unbecoming of a 'Law Society' member, extortion, perjury, disinformation, state sponsored kidnapping, judicial activism, immoral intent, United Nations ['child rights'](#) violations, social engineering, media manipulation. This type of abuse is not an isolated incident; it is rather a common occurrence in the realm of Family Law. However, Adam's case is of the sever form and its inconsistencies of applied law should be of **alarm** to how justice is defined and applied in Saskatchewan.

How will this legal precedent be applied? Well, Adam's judgment has already been unsavorily attempted as case law in an Alberta court. The applicators in Alberta couldn't even contain themselves long enough to wait for the 'time to Appeal' to lapse. Guaranteed, many will be redeveloping their legal strategy to accommodate this new father unfriendly precedent. The consequences of Justice Smith's judgment can be far reaching in its **malfesance** and intrusiveness.

However, all the welfare and low to medium-income parents out there better heed notice to the [recent ruling from Justice Smith](#) of the Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench. **The court has ruled that when deciding custody the finances far outweigh bloodlines and the inherent human relations!**

What a strange and unprecedented ruling, especially since Justice Smith is the same judge who granted Adam his initial visits months before the trial. What could possibly have

motivated and instigated this judge to bring a child and father together, creating a natural bond, for seemingly the sole purpose of tearing them apart. If this is supposed to be a joke, I doubt anyone is laughing. Can you imagine the joy and completeness in finally be able to hold your child and then in an instance have that joy vanish leaving only a faded memory, quite cruel and unusual punishment to place on two people who certainly don't deserve that bizarre treatment.

Furthermore, it was also Justice Smith who accused the bio-mom of being in 'contempt of court' for lying about not knowing whom the father was. She stated 'father unknown' on the birth certificate. Justice Smith administered no punishment for the very action that directly contributed to the current state of affairs. It was because of the bio-mom falsifying government documents that directly resulted in Adam being removed from the life of his son. How can such a malicious action be warranted and permitted by our court system.

View the below link displaying the *sworn Affidavit* of Rose (bio-mom) admitting to knowing that Adam was the father:

http://www.saskatoonad.com/affidavits/09_28_06_Affidavit_of_Biological_Mother.pdf

Excerpt from the bio-mom's *Affidavit*:

[15] "... an adoption was the best option and I told my mother this early in my pregnancy."

[15] "... I agree I had several opportunities to advise the Petitioner that he was the father of the baby, and fully intended to tell the Petitioner such at a time of my own choosing."

Is it not an 'offence' to falsify government documents? What type of message is this court sending to the public?

I recommend people who wish for justice to prevail to steer clear of the courthouse.

You may also seriously want to consider staying far away from the hospital and look to the sanctuary of a home delivery, because the relationship you may want to have with your child can at a moments notice be put up for sale to the highest bidder. Don't count on the hospital administration or staff for help, they will turn a blind eye to policy and shed any and all ethic or moral decency and replace it with politics of who their superiors think is more capable and worthy of parenting your child. No questions will be asked regarding the peddling of your child, no answers will be given.

All the men out there who consider themselves worthy and adequate for fatherhood better pack-up and flee this province because you will be measured by an unfriendly criterion derived from a system measuring from a broken 'scale'. The reality is the system is heavily biased and discriminatory against fathers and consequently and most importantly their children. You have been dutily evaluated and found to be 'second class' citizens; governed by a lower standard of justice. You're simply just a male voice without equal benefit to the law.

I read the Titus letter with great interest and can fully vouch for the credibility and

authenticity of the content. I sat next to Titus during most of the trial and I also smelt the alcohol from the breath of the new legal (?) grandpa. How hypocritical of him to support the very people who made issue of Adam's past drinking history (I wonder if his children felt adequately parented and safe). Just as hypocritical of the PA couple making issue of Adams smoking while half of the PA couple puffed on cigarettes at the rear of the courthouse. This may seem trivial, but it shows the great hypocrisy and lunacy of their defense. It was trivial information such as this that was constantly relied upon in court, consistently repeated in the media and ultimately commented upon several instances in Justice Smith's ruling.

Being one of the directors of the www.saskatoondad.com website, I am privy to certain privileged information concerning Adam's case and I was shocked to hear about the latest lawyer correspondence regarding 'threats' being made to **shut down** Adam's website. This reminds me of déjà vu! I know that a certain someone tried shutting the mouth of Adam before. Similar failed attempts have already been made regarding a media ban petitioned by the PA couple (Third Party Respondents). Justice Ryan Froslic ruled in this consideration that **only the names of the parties involved are to be restricted from publication.**

You can view the *Fiat* from the following link:

http://www.saskatoondad.com/judgment/11_21_06_Fiat_of_Madame_Justice_Ryan-Froslic.pdf

Excerpt from the *Fiat*:

"[42] Accordingly, there shall be a limited publication ban prohibiting the publication of any information which serves to identify the parties, the child or his step siblings."

What a complete waste of time and finances for Adam to even have to respond to such rubbish and redundancy. It is within Adam's right to post the information on the website as long as it is in accordance with Froslic's ruling. Perhaps, if Dale Blennart-Hassert and his PA clients have a problem with Adam disclosing 'truth' to the public then the lawyer clearly shouldn't have resorted to using the spotlight of national and local media to challenge Adam by stating, "**BRING IT ON!**" It's unfortunate for the PA couple and their lawyer not to realize they don't have the ability to choose what Adam '**brings**'.

While contesting the publication of the case, Dale Blennart-Hassert was soliciting media for coverage. He was on national radio and television defaming and degrading Adam. This hypocrite publicly called Adam a 'pedophile' and in the same breath whines about statements made by Adam. The PA couple and their lawyer have no qualms 'dishing it out' but they have a considerably difficult time 'taking it'. Soon an audio recording will be online regarding the slanderous broadcasted outbursts from Dale Blennart-Hassert. *

Everyone has fundamental freedoms in this country including the freedom of thought, belief, association, opinion and expression; press and other media of communication.

Constitutional Lawyers for the CBC and other major media syndicates, including CTV and

Can West successfully intervened in the publication ban; they were quite concerned about the attempts for a media ban. The PA couple and their lawyer unsuccessfully tried for a **full media ban** prohibiting any mention of this case to the public. There is a key test for determining a media ban and Adam's case did not come close to the universal criteria. The PA couple expressed public embarrassment over the situation, and all I can say to that is, "How easy did you think it would be to 'steal' someone's baby?" Really though, it's to bad the PA couple are embarrassed... as they should be. Perhaps the burden of guilt and shame will prove to great to bear!

In the eyes of many, these people simply took a child that was not theirs and simply wish for the public not to hear the full story. They wish to suppress certain information thus inhibiting the general population from knowing the truth that directly contradicts the notion that the PA couple are 'good and honest people'. In their eyes, full disclosure IS NOT their friend in harnessing societal acceptance.

Dale Blennart-Hassert is a 'disinformationist' (LIAR) and he should be prohibited from practicing law in this country. He has been heard, seen and read on national and local media stating that he did not ask Adam for child support, that it was merely an idea being passed about. This is an outright lie. Read the following letter from Dale Blennart-Hassert and you will realize the truth about the child support and compare with his denial. Nothing can be clearer to the intent of the PA couple soliciting Adam for money. An audio recording of a local news broadcast will soon be available regarding the lawyer's denial. *

Link to *Child Support letter* from PA couple lawyer Dale Blennart-Hassert:

http://www.saskatoondad.com/lawyer_cor/10_11_06_Correspondence_from_Blenner_Hassett_1.pdf

Excerpt from *Child Support letter*:

"... confirm that he will commence child support payments for Baby forthwith..."

I think we all can see why the PA couple wishes to shut down the site and any other source offering full disclosure and promotion of truth! They can hide their names and faces, but they can't hide the truth.

Very few know that the PA couple where in the process of 'legal' adoption up until the time Adam started seeking his parenting role, it was then they ran from the legitimate adoption process and relied on deceit and illegal tactics to gain ownership of someone elses child. Disgusting, deplorable and unforgivable. Now the PA couple and their 'hired gun' demand that the only source of truth be removed from public viewing.

If the PA couple are such model citizens and a great role model, which they claim to be, then why did Justice Ryan Froslic make these following comments in her *Fiat*:

"[17] Based on the evidence, I am satisfied the supervised access ordered by Justice smith did not occur because of the actions of the third party respondents."

"[24] It is evident that the third parties were not prepared to facilitate the supervised access as ordered prior to the initiation of the births fathers court application. Their position as set out in Exhibit '1' was totally unreasonable. Compensatory access is warranted."

What words could properly explain the above antics? Do good and honest come to mind?
Or how about operating in the child's interest?

... Selfish and absolutely self-serving!

Once you read Froslic's Fiat and you will soon see the deplorable tactics utilized by the PA couple to stall and inhibit the relationship between a bio dad and his son. Better yet, research the sites entirety to gain the full perspective. These people are far from being 'good or honest' they hide behind their little curtain placing their hired 'pettifogger' on their own soapbox.

Adam has already given more than a **rib** in his fight for his child's right and he is prepared to lose the remaining 12 pairs if need be. He has clearly shown and maintains an undying commitment in the fight for justice; a fight in which all parents have a considerable stake.

How much can Canadian society tolerate in the destruction of the natural family unit. It isn't the parents who raise their child anymore and determine their best interests, it is the State who has taken parental position and God help us all if we think this sort of intervention and interpretation is warranted and justified. It was the intact natural traditional family that founded this country and of which was used to define western civilization, not the new age perversion of family engineered by the family courts.

One thing is for sure, this exploited child is going to grow up and will learn of the situation and will severely and rightfully resent the PA couples actions. This child will look upon the PA couple in a dark and unfriendly light. The child will realize they did everything in their power to remove his daddy - the source of his very existence. There will always be the 'archives' showing how the PA couple continuously slandered and referred to the biodad in affidavit as nothing more than a '**sperm donor**'.

Link to PA couple's Affidavit mentioning 'sperm donor':

http://www.saskatoondad.com/affidavits/09_28_06_Affidavit_of_Custodia_Mother_1.pdf

Excerpt from the PA couple's Affidavit:

[33] "... the Petitioner is but the provider of sperm that produced 'Baby' and is nothing more to him.

The psychological consequences weighted on this innocent child are going to be astronomical and long lasting. The decision to keep this child is a self-serving one with self-gratifying short-term benefits. Instead of doing what is righteously good, the PA couple did what 'feels good', and there will come a day when their selfish acts will catch up to them. The

unscrupulous PA couple should cease the nonsense and just give back what is not rightfully theirs!

Make your voices heard, support Adam and his child in their righteous fight to battle this injustice, help them succeed in their togetherness and with placing a positive family friendly precedent.
